

## Collaborating for Impact Application Rubric

Organizational Overview					
<b>Overview and History (2 points)</b> Organization has provided an overview on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History</li> <li>Services and programs</li> <li>Accomplishments</li> <li>Current strategic direction</li> </ul>	Not Complete (1)				Complete (5)
	<b>Comments:</b>				
<b>Inclusiveness/Nondiscrimination (10 points)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organization has established and is clearly implementing procedures that address inclusiveness within: staff, board/volunteers, practices, and/or program offerings. Organization uses community engagement approach in decision-making</li> <li>Two or more examples are provided that clearly demonstrate this process.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
	<b>Comments:</b>				
Program Plan					
<b>Target Population (10 points)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is a very clear, logical, and strong alignment between target population and the Community Impact Goal.</li> <li>The Collaboration provides services to the population living in at least one of the 5 metro county areas served by Mile High United Way: Adams, Arapahoe, Denver, Douglas, and Jefferson.</li> <li>A high proportion of supports is directly or indirectly supporting populations/participants at below 300% of FPL.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
	<b>Comments:</b>				
<b>Statement of Need (5 points)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is clear evidence of awareness of needs and changes (if applicable) in the community</li> <li>Collaboration is clearly designed to address a need in the community.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
	<b>Comments:</b>				

## Collaborating for Impact Application Rubric

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Collaboration is clearly adding value to the community: building synergy from existing efforts, building efficiencies, and/or strengthening impact or sustainability.</li> </ul>					
<p><b>Collective Impact Focus and Outcomes (15 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The goals are clearly stated and are well aligned with Mile High United Way’s Community Impact Goals</li> <li>There is clear evidence of shared community level outcomes and targets that are measureable and align with goals.</li> <li>There is evidence of achievements or progress toward meeting the key strategies and/or community impact goals.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
<p><b>Network Services and Programs (12 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The practices/services/programs are clearly defined and are likely to address the community need</li> <li>Alignment of partner activities and roles contribute to achievement in outcome, goals, and indicators</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
<p><b>Rational for Approach (8 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rationale for selecting partners is clearly defined and aligned with program goals.</li> <li>Clear description of effectiveness and/or uniqueness of approach that is supported by formal evaluation, research, and/or promising practices.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
<p><b>Collective Impact Network or Formal Collaborative Team/Members (5 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Collaboration has a clearly defined governance and decision making process in place and the collective operates within the structure developed.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
<p>Comments:</p>					

## Collaborating for Impact Application Rubric

Continuous Improvement					
<b>Monitoring and Evaluation (10 points)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A strategic process exists to determine what data to collect, what data collection methods are appropriate, and how often data will be collected.</li> <li>Data is clearly aligned with goals.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
	Comments:				
<b>Quality Improvement (10 points)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A strategic process exists to analyze, review, report, and utilize data to inform service delivery that occurs frequently and involves multiple audiences.</li> <li>One or more examples are provided that clearly demonstrate this process.</li> </ul>	Not at all (1)	Not adequately (2)	To some extent (3)	To a good extent (4)	Completely (5)
	Comments:				
Attachments					
<b>Attachments (12 points, 1 point for each required)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Logic model or theory of change</li> <li>Budget</li> <li>Current financials</li> <li>Most recent audit/financial review and year-end financial statements</li> <li>Sources of income table</li> <li>Proof of IRS federal tax-exempt status</li> <li>Secretary of State Certificate of Good Standing</li> <li>Most recently completed IRS Form 990</li> <li>Board of Directors List</li> <li>Inclusiveness/nondiscrimination policy</li> <li>Names and qualifications of key staff</li> <li>Memorandum of Understanding</li> <li>Expectations</li> </ul>	Not Complete (0)				Completed (1)
	Comments:				

Scoring for the above four sections totals 100 points

## Collaborating for Impact Application Rubric

Financial information provided by applicants will be reviewed. No points are associated with this section.

<b>Financials</b>	
<p><b>Financial Stewardship</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Favorable/unqualified auditor opinion;</li> <li>• Balance Sheet Total Net Assets &gt; Total Liabilities;</li> <li>• Supporting Services Expense (Management, Fundraising) in Statement of Functional Expenses ≤ 20% of Total Expense;</li> <li>• Change in Net Assets positive (in total) for past 2 years (5) Form 990 Part VI, Section B Questions 11a, 12a, 13, 14 and 15a are answered yes</li> </ul>	<p>Comments:</p>
<p><b>Funding Stability and Diversity</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) No single category in the Source of Income Table is more than 50% of total funding; and</li> <li>• (2) Revenue in most recent year was equal to or greater than in the previous year.</li> </ul>	<p>Comments:</p>
<p><b>Budget Strength</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budget documents are complete, accurate, and well explained.</li> <li>• If a program budget is included, Costs associated with proposed program are very well documented and efficient for the level of requested funding.</li> <li>• Organizational budget has an overall net surplus or positive change in net assets before depreciation.</li> </ul>	<p>Comments:</p>